# Exhibit 3

1

1 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT 2 FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND 3 JEFF ALBAN, et al, 4 Pl ainti ffs VERSUS CASE No. 03-C-06-010932 5 6 7 EXXONMOBIL CORPORATION, et al, 8 Defendant \* \* \* \* \* \* \* 9 10 October 21, 2008 11 REPORTER'S OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 12 DAILY COPY - NOT PROOF READ 13 14 BEFORE: HONORABLE MAURICE W. BALDWIN, JR., ASSOCIATE JUDGE 15 16 17 18 19 20 REPORTED BY: MARINA COYLE (PM Session) 21 22 Official Court Reporter 23 401 Bosley Ave., M-08 Towson, Maryl and 21204 24 25 (410) 887-2635 2

Page 1

APPEARANCES:

3	Oct 21 Russ Bowen and Ken Rudo.txt ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:		
4			
5	STEPHEN SNYDER, ESQUIRE		
6	ROBERT J. WELTCHEK, ESQUIRE		
7	SCOTT SNYDER, ESQUIRE		
8	MI CHAEL SNYDER, ESQUIRE		
9	TOMEKA CHURCH, ESQUIRE		
10	JASON A. L. TIMOLL, ESQUIRE		
11			
12	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:		
13			
14	JAMES F. SANDERS, ESQUIRE		
15	THOMAS DUNDON, ESQUIRE		
16	WILLIAM STACK, ESQUIRE		
17	CARLOS BOLLAR, ESQUIRE		
18	ANDREW GENDRON, ESQUIRE		
19	C. CAREY DEELEY, ESQUIRE		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			3
1	INDEX		
2			
3	WI TNESS	PAGE	
4			
5	RUSSEL BOWEN		
6	Direct examination by Mr. S. Snyder	4	
7	Cross-examination by Mr. Sanders Page 2	35	

	Oct 21 Russ Bowen and Ken Rudo.txt	
8	Redirect examination by Mr. S. Snyder 69	
9		
10		
11	DR. KENNETH RUDO	
12	Voir Dire examination by Mr. Weltchek 76	
13	Direct examination by Mr. Weltchek 109	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	4	
1	* * * *	
2	(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom,	
3	with Mr. Russell Bowen resuming the witness stand.)	
4	THE COURT: Be seated, please.	
5	The jury and counsel, we apologize for the	
6	late start.	
7	Mr. Snyder?	
8	MR. STEVEN SNYDER: May I continue, sir?	
9	THE COURT: Yes, sir.	
10	Q. (Mr. Steven Snyder) Mr. Bowen, I'm not going to	
11	be too much longer.	

- Oct 21 Russ Bowen and Ken Rudo.txt
- 12 Q. When did you first get involved in MTBE in
- 13 researching its potential hazards to the environment and
- 14 to people?
- 15 A. Well, it goes back literally to the day I came
- 16 here in April of 1989. Some of the first wells that
- 17 were brought to my attention to evaluate had this
- 18 chemical in there that was a component of gasoline. And
- 19 Dr. Taylor had told me that the year before, he had seen
- 20 this chemical in private wells for the first time. He
- 21 had never seen it in a private well before 1988.
- 22 And then we went to the EPA, and we talked to
- 23 them. Have you seen this in private wells before 1989?
- 24 And we talked to some people in other states,
- 25 toxicologists in other states, and everybody drew a

- 1 blank. Well, we don't know anything about could it be
- 2 in the water. The EPA may have had data, but they
- 3 didn't acknowledge to us that they had seen this in the
- 4 water. So from our standpoint, we were maybe the first
- 5 or one of the first states to identify this chemical in
- 6 drinking water.
- 7 Q. So in terms of the universe of knowledge, because
- 8 we talked about how knowledge evolves, the knowledge
- 9 regarding MTBE is less than 20 years old in terms of it
- 10 as a health hazard.
- 11 A. It's been -- I think it's been in a place where
- 12 we could be exposed to it since about 1979, which is
- 13 when it was added to gasoline to replace lead and to
- 14 make sure that you kept the octane levels up. I'm sure
- 15 there are engineers and scientists that know a lot more
- 16 about that aspect of it than I do, but they put it in in Page 82

- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. I don't know the history of it before 1979, if it
- 25 was ever made and used for anything else, but my

- 1 knowledge is as of '79 forward.
- 2 Q. Okay. So as of at least the time period of '79,
- 3 early '80s, the only source of MTBE was in gasoline.
- 4 A. To my knowledge.
- 5 Q. Okay. And you said that -- I don't want to spend
- 6 lot of detail at this moment in time, but around this
- 7 1988, '89 time frame, you became aware of MTBE, as it
- 8 relates to people's drinking water, in North Carolina?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you began your research, which probably has
- 11 continued to the present.
- 12 A. Yes, it has.
- Q. Without bragging, and I don't want you to brag,
- 14 where would you consider yourself, in terms of people in
- 15 the United States that have devoted their careers to
- 16 studying MTBE, where would you rate yourself in say the
- 17 top ten or top five?
- And I don't want to be pompous, but I believe
- 19 it's important for the jury to understand the time and
- 20 attention you've given to this subject over the past 20
- 21 years.
- 22 A. I'm not going to rank myself because I don't
- 23 think that's fair to people that work on it. But I am
- 24 the only persona that I know of who has worked with the
- 25 chemical in terms of people being exposed to it Page 84

Oct 21 Russ Bowen and Ken Rudo. txt 21 And you try to use statistics to try to see 22 what kind of tumors are formed. Are they high enough 23 from a statistical standpoint so you can say this 24 chemical can cause cancer in animals. And in the world 25 of toxicology, it says -- also says that that is 105 1 information that we can use to say that it can probably 2 cause chemicals -- cause cancer in humans. 3 the information that we primarily rely on in order to 4 know what a chemical can do from a cancer standpoint. 5 That is why those studies are so important. 6 And specifically in the area of studies of MTBE, 7 has the petroleum industry or any scientist to date done 8 any research on humans with regard to cancer? 9 In terms of MTBE, that is the, I think, the one 10 thing that really truly needs to be done to, you know, 11 we -- we -- we understand that MTBE is a carcinogen. 12 understand that it's a probable human carcinogen, that, 13 you know, more likely than not, it's going to cause 14 We understand that it causes cancer in humans. 15 mutations. So because it changes the DNA, in -- in the 16 studies that have been done, and some of the studies 17 that have done, it changes the DNA, it implies that 18 there's no safe level. So any level of this chemical we 19 consider to be too much of a risk from an exposure 20 And we have to base it on the mutation data that we have, changes in DNA, and the animal studies 21 22 that show that it causes cancer in different animals, different doses, different tumors, males and females. 23 24 All the requirements to say that it probably causes 25 cancer in humans have been met. Page 90

1 In order for us to actually sit down and say

- 2 here's what the risk actually is, we have to have the
- 3 human studies on top of this where they look the
- 4 occupational exposures that may have occurred from the
- 5 '70s and '80s, and they follow those populations
- 6 forward. And I think along the Texas coast and
- 7 Louisiana coast where they have the MTBE facilities
- 8 would be probably be where they have to do these
- 9 studies. And to my knowledge, and I may get corrected
- 10 tomorrow by Mr. Stack. But to my knowledge, they
- 11 haven't done any studies, and I haven't seen any
- 12 indication that the industry plans to do these things.
- 13 So that question may not get answered for us for
- 14 many, many years.
- 15 Q. So we have, in the specific area, if you want to
- 16 delve and inquire into whether MTBE poses risks to
- 17 humans, what we have are animals studies?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Have there been any animal studies that
- 20 demonstrate, whether they were done by the industry or
- 21 whomever, that have demonstrated in animals that MTBE
- 22 does not cause cancer?
- 23 A. I have not seen any studies that were done
- 24 where -- that were published that at the end of the day,
- 25 all the results were negative. I haven't seen a cancer

107

- 1 study like that. All the studies -- there are three
- 2 studies that have been done, that have been published,

- 3 and all those studies, to some degree, show some kind of
- 4 cancer in animals in every one of those.
- 5 Q. With regard to the studies that are done with
- 6 regard to the mutinogenic -- that the right way to say
- 7 it?
- 8 A. That's good.
- 9 Q. Mutinogenic potential of MTBE, how recent are
- 10 some of those studies?
- 11 A. I think they go back to 2005 I think is the most
- 12 recent one that I've seen.
- 13 Q. Okay. So there's a much more recent body of
- 14 knowledge regarding mutinogenic ability of MTBE than the
- 15 cancer studies that go back to 1995?
- 16 A. Yes. That factored significantly in my thinking
- 17 of this chemical in terms of what it can do to people
- 18 that are exposed to drinking water. The fact that I
- 19 have so many more studies that show mutations and
- 20 changes in DNA has changed my thinking in terms of -- of
- 21 is there a safe level or is -- is there not? And
- 22 because there are so many studies now that show
- 23 mutations, and because mutations do not have a safe
- 24 level, to me that implies that MTBE essentially does not
- 25 have a save Level.

- 1 Q. And we'll get into that in greater detail. You
- 2 sort of foreshadow days. Let's not scare the jury.
- 3 You'll be out of here tomorrow, hopefully tomorrow
- 4 morning.
- 5 A. Well, I'll -- hey, I'm here all day for you and
- 6 Mr. Stack.
- 7 Q. Sounds a little scary there. They think that you Page 92

- 8 might be here a few days. I don't think Mr. Stack is
- 9 going to be that long on cross-examination.
- 10 The -- the only other area that I want to ask you
- 11 about, then I'll move on to start some of your opinions
- 12 in this case, your work in this case in this -- you're
- 13 not here as the toxicologist from North Carolina.
- 14 You're here as a paid toxicologist. Our firm has hired
- 15 you to investigate MTBE and benzene and its effects on
- 16 my clients in this case, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And you've done that in other cases
- 19 before, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And I believe this is the first time, even though
- 22 you've done a fair amount of it in the past and you've
- 23 given a number of depositions, you've never actually
- 24 testified in a case that went to court.
- A. As an outside expert, no.

- 1 Q. In an MTBE case.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You've testified regarding other toxic substances
- 4 in other cases in court, but never in an MTBE case.
- 5 A. Right. But in North Carolina, I have testified
- 6 as a fact witness in a MTBE case.
- 7 Q. On behalf of the State of North Carolina?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Sort of try to draw that distinction.
- 10 And you, in fact, have run into Mr. Stack in
- 11 other cases, correct?

- Oct 21 Russ Bowen and Ken Rudo.txt
- 12 A. Yes. We have spoken on occasion.
- 13 Q. You've run into Exxon on other cases, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, I have.
- 15 MR. WELTCHEK: Your Honor, at this time, I
- 16 would offer Dr. Rudo as an expert in the field of
- 17 environmental toxicology.
- 18 MR. STACK: No objection, Your Honor. We'll
- 19 take any issues on in cross-examination.
- THE COURT: Very well.
- You may proceed.
- 22 MR. WELTCHEK: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. WELTCHEK:
- 25 Q. Now, I briefly touched upon this, but I think

- 1 it's really important, this evolution of information
- 2 that occurs, particularly in your field of toxicology.
- 3 And I just want to understand, hope the jury will
- 4 understand, the process of the evolution of knowledge.
- 5 And this -- ther's somethings in the real world.
- 6 For example, 50 years ago, we thought cigarettes
- 7 were safe, correct? We thought, the citizens of the
- 8 United States, not the manufacturers.
- 9 A. To some degree, yes.
- 10 Q. I mean, women smoked when they were pregnant,
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And the body of knowledge, with regard to
- 14 nicotine and the cigarette industry, has -- it's
- 15 exploded in the last 20 years, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.

- 17 Q. I mean, there's litigation against cigarette
- 18 companies for smokers. There's also cases involving
- 19 second-hand smoke.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. We now understand the hazards of sitting in the
- 22 room or driving in the car or on the airplane -- you
- 23 used to sit on the airplane, and the non-smoking seats
- 24 were the last two rows in the plane.
- 25 Remember those days?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Everybody else was smoking, and you're breathing
- 3 their smoke.
- 4 And we didn't know that that smoke was hazardous.
- 5 A. We've done a lot of work in North Carolina
- 6 reviewing these studies.
- 7 Q. Okay. I'm just saying just in our -- in the
- 8 juror's life time, we've had real experience, common
- 9 sense, real life experience where things that we thought
- 10 were safe were proven to be cancer-causing.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And that's a good example.
- 13 A. And -- and even more important, if I can expand
- 14 on that briefly, is we got a lot more knowledge on
- 15 chemicals like lead, which you brought up earlier, and
- 16 arsenic that -- that which we once thought might be
- 17 toxic at higher doses, has turned out to be a very, very
- 18 Iow dose toxin. And that's the evolution of information
- 19 is that not only can it harm you, but at what level can
- 20 it harm you.

- Oct 21 Russ Bowen and Ken Rudo.txt
- 21 Q. Right. I mean, there are legions of examples.
- 22 But some of the most notorious and that really
- 23 burdened the court systems, asbestos is an example.
- 24 People thought that you put it on pipes and you put it
- 25 the insulation and the brake linings and whatever. No

- 1 one thought it was going to cause this epidemic of
- 2 litigation and the cancer and mesothelioma.
- 3 A. Mesothelioma, yes.
- 4 Q. And I mentioned lead. I mean, we -- we know as
- 5 citizens of Maryland, lead paint has become an
- 6 epidemic --
- 7 A. Absolutely.
- 8 Q. -- particularly in Baltimore City. Young
- 9 children have had their neurologic systems devistated
- 10 from lead paint, right?
- 11 A. We have a very vigorous lead program to protect
- 12 children in North Carolina in terms of analyzing it and
- 13 abating it and sampling for it and making sure we are
- 14 very proactive in doing that.
- 15 Q. But my point is that 40 or 50 years ago, that
- 16 these houses were being painted with lead paint and no
- 17 one thought it was hazardous.
- 18 A. Right. And even when we did, it not until 1979
- 19 when a man named Needleman did some lead studies in
- 20 children and showed it was a low dose toxin, changed
- 21 completely how we thought about it.
- 22 Q. As far as it -- you made a good point, the levels
- 23 that are considered to be toxic or hazardous in humans,
- 24 and in the case of lead in children, that level that
- 25 dramatically gotten lower, and lower, and lower over the Page 96

1 course of time.

- 2 MR. STACK: Objection, Your Honor. If we're
- 3 going to talk about lead, maybe we ought to revise the
- 4 complaint in this case.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Let's focus --
- 6 MR. WELTCHEK: If you want my to -- if
- 7 there's lead in your gasoline, let me know. Seriously --
- 8 MR. STACK: That's not the point. Objection
- 9 to relevance, Your Honor.
- 10 MR. WELTCHEK: -- point of toxicology, I
- 11 don't accuse Exxon of cigarette smoking or lead. I'm
- 12 just trying to use it as an example.
- 13 If that's not fair to you, Mr. Stack. It's
- 14 just an example. We'll stipulate that this case is not
- 15 about second-hand smoke and not about lead. I'm trying
- 16 to go through the process of how toxicology has evolved
- 17 over the past 40 or 50 years.
- 18 Q. (Mr. Weltchek) And I think -- do you not think
- 19 that's important for the jury to understand as it
- 20 relates to MTBE, which we have a very small body of
- 21 knowledge in the area of MTBE, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And the knowledge, the articles are being
- 24 published fairly frequently in the area.
- 25 If you go on some of these scientific web sites,

114

- 1 there's a lots of articles be published currently on
- 2 MTBE.

- Oct 21 Russ Bowen and Ken Rudo. txt
- 3 A. Yes, but the amount of new science that is coming
- 4 out is very slow.
- Q. Okay.
- 6 A. So there's a lot of opinions coming out in the
- 7 the peer-reviewed literature, but not that much new
- 8 sci ence.
- 9 Q. Okay. But the time period that MTBE has been on
- 10 the market where people, humans can be exposed to it,
- 11 has has been a rather small snapshot in this time.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Roughly 20 to 30 years.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. Now, with regard to -- let's start out and
- 16 see if we can get through the first of the areas that I
- 17 want to talk to you about over the next day, half day,
- 18 whatever. And Mr. Stack's always good at putting up a
- 19 summary. I'll verbalize because I didn't put it
- 20 together.
- 21 But one of the areas that I want you to talk
- 22 about and will be talking about, is whether or not in
- 23 you're opinion MTBE is a probable human carcinogen?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. We're also going to talk about whether it's a

- 1 probable human mutagen.
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. We're going to talk about whether in this case
- 4 you have an opinion that my clients who potentially were
- 5 exposed to contaminated drinking water by way of
- 6 showering, cooking, bathing, drinking, any other ways
- 7 they might be exposed, whether as a result of their Page 98

- 8 actually exposures, whether they're at increased risk
- 9 for a variety of cancers in the future, correct.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. We're also going to talk about as a result of
- 12 your opinions with regard to their possible exposures to
- 13 what you believe to be a cancer-causing substance,
- 14 whether they should be provided by this jury, as a
- 15 result of they're verdict, medical monitoring programs
- 16 so they can be more vigilantly watched in the future, so
- 17 if they're going to get cancer, pick it up sooner rather
- 18 than Later.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And lastly, I'm just hitting the larger areas,
- 21 we're going to be discussing whether or not my clients
- 22 should be, by this jury provided money so that each and
- 23 every one of them -- currently there are five plaintiffs
- 24 that have been provided, out of the 90, there have been
- 25 five provided what's called POET systems by Exxon.

- 1 And I'm going to ask you, over the course of the
- 2 next half a day or so, whether you're opinion is that
- 3 Exxon should provide the other 85 with POET systems.
- 4 We're going to talk about that, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. All right. Let's start out -- and I don't think
- 7 I missed any major areas. Let's first start out with
- 8 somethings that the jury heard in opening statement by
- 9 Mr. Sanders.
- 10 There was some reference -- MTBE, the letter E in
- 11 MTBE standing for ether, correct?